IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IRVIN M. BROWN,

Petitioner,

vs.

Civil Action 2:14-cv-813 Judge Graham Magistrate Judge King

WARDEN, NOBLE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION,

Respondent.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, but has neither paid the \$5.00 filing fee nor submitted a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. §1915(a). On July 14, 2014, petitioner was directed to either pay the filing fee within twenty-eight (28) days or seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Order, ECF 2. Petitioner has done neither. It therefore appears that petitioner has abandoned the prosecution of the action.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to prosecute.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the Report and Recommendation, specifically designating this Report and Recommendation, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed

within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Fed. R.

Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that the failure to object to

the Report and Recommendation will result in a waiver of the right to de

novo review by the District Judge and waiver of the right to appeal the

judgment of the District Court. See, e.g., Pfahler v. Nat'l Latex Prod.

Co., 517 F.3d 816, 829 (6th Cir. 2007) (holding that "failure to object to

the magistrate judge's recommendations constituted a waiver of [the

defendant's] ability to appeal the district court's ruling"); United

States v. Sullivan, 431 F.3d 976, 984 (6th Cir. 2005) (holding that

defendant waived appeal of district court's denial of pretrial motion by

failing to timely object to magistrate judge's report and recommendation).

Even when timely objections are filed, appellate review of issues not

raised in those objections is waived. Robert v. Tesson, 507 F.3d 981, 994

(6th Cir. 2007) ("[A] general objections to a magistrate judge's report,

which fails to specify the issues of contention, does not suffice to

preserve an issue for appeal") (citation omitted)).

s/ Norah McCann King

Norah M^cCann King United States Magistrate Judge

September 18, 2014

Date

2